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\textbf{SUMMARY}

This paper is motivated by a classical experiment presented in Woodruff et al. (1984) and Simpson (1989). In that example males flies were exposed either to a certain degree of chemical to be screened or to control conditions and the responses are the numbers of recessive lethal mutations observed among daughters of those flies. The data are given by

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{cccccccc}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
Observed (Control) & 159 & 15 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
Observed (Treated) & 110 & 11 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

As in Simpson (1989), we will assume that the responses in the control group and the treated group are random samples from the Poisson distributions with mean $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ respectively. Our interest is in testing the hypothesis $H_0: \theta_1 \geq \theta_2$ against $H_1: \theta_1 < \theta_2$. The apprehension is that the presence of the two large counts for the treated group may make the the mean of the second group appear larger, although this conclusion may not be supported by the rest of the data. Using Likelihood Ratio Test (LRT) based on Maximum Likelihood Estimator (MLE) we get $p$-value = 0.0015 and if we delete the two outliers (observations corresponding to observations 6 and 7 in observed treated we get $p$-value = 0.1359. What is the reason for this discordance? The problem is that the MLE as well as the LRT are not robust procedures.

In this paper we consider Density Power Divergence introduced and studied in Basu et al. (1998) for estimation and we use them in the framework of hypothesis testing to overcome the problem pointed previously. Some theoretical results are presented as well as a simulation study in order to study the robustness of the new procedure in relation to the procedures based on MLE and LRT.
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